HIGHLIGHTS

UNIVERSITY OF

OXFORD

- Schizotypy is related with an increased perception that cues are positively associated.
- This effect occurs in the seconds to milliseconds time frame.
- A novel psychophysical instrumental learning contingency task is presented.

INTRODUCTION

- Individual behavioural differences are fundamental to understanding human psychology (Byrom, & Murphy, 2018).
- Schizotypy is a personality dimension underlying psychotic-like experiences (Claridge, 1997), and has been related to risk of psychosis (Lenzenweger, 2018).
- High schizotypal individuals share some genetical overlap (Barrantes-Vidal, et al., 2015), as well as, impairments in episodic memory (Sahakyan, & Kwapil, 2018), attention (Haselgrove, & Evans, 2010), and cognitive biases (Juarez-Ramos, et al., 2014) with people diagnosed with schizophrenia, e.g., apophenia (Fyfe, et al., 2008).

METHODOLOGY

Eighty-three participants completed the short Oxford-Liverpool Inventory of Feelings and Experiences (Mason, et al., 2005) and performed 180 trials (with 9 different conditions) of a contingency learning task on a computer screen (see Figure 1). The conditions were nine contingencies differeing in $\Delta P = \#A / (\#A + \#B) - \#C / (\#C + \#D)$; where # is frequency.



Figure 1. Behavioral task used. Every participant did 180 trials, 20 for each one of the 9 conditions, ΔP of -1, -0.75, -0.5, -0.25, 0, 0.25, 0.5, 0.75, and 1.

Psychophysical bias to perceived positive associations in schizotypy



time) (L) as a function of schizotypy.

Santiago Castiello^{1,3}, Andy Baker², & Robin A. Murphy¹ ¹University of Oxford, ²McGill University, ³Universidad de Guadalajara

Figure 2. Probability of responding strong positive (A), probability of correct (B), and decision time (C) as a function of contingency. Logistic mixed model used to predict positive response (D). Linear mixed model used to predict the natural logarithm [In()] of decision time (E). Overall participant probability of positive response (F) and correct (G) as a function of the participant mean In(decision time). Inflexion point (H) and steepness (I) from a sigmoidal function, probability of positive response (J), correctness (K), and In(decision



RESULTS

- Participants were sensitive to contingencies (2A), learnt the task (2B), and had a wide range of decision time (DT; 2C).
- Positive association responses were predicted by increases in schizotypy and decreases in DT as a covariate (2D). However, schizotypy did not predict higher DT (2E).
- For individual, positive response are not correlated with DT (2F), but longer DT are positively correlated with accuracy (% of correct; **2G**).
- We fit sigmoidal functions for each participant and correlated the inflexion point and steepness with schizotypy. The former was negatively correlated (2H; even excluding the outlier) and the second is not (21).
- Similarly, schizotypy was positively correlated with overall higher probability of positive responses (Fig 2J); but is not with overall correctness (2K) nor DT (2L).

DISCUSSION

- When participants saw a stream of information with a statistical relationship between two cues, participants with higher schizotypy were more likely to perceive a positive association.
- Some individuals manifest a cognitive bias that predisposed them to respond affirmatively to questions in memory recognition tests (Kanter, & Lindsay, 2012), this is called the "yea-saying bias" (Couch, & Keniston).

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS & REFERENCES

We acknowledge Erdem Pulcu and Michael Browning for continuous support. In addition, we thank the University of Guadalajara for SC PhD grant.

dimension of schizotypy. *The Quarterly Journal of Experimental Psychology*, 63(6), 1127-1149. Juarez-Ramos, V., Rubio, J. L., Delpero, C., Mioni, G., Stablum, F., & Gomez-Milan, E. (2014). Jumping to conclusions bias, BADE and feedback sensitivity in schizophrenia and schizotypy. *Consciousness and cognition*, 26, 133-144.

Fyfe, S., Williams, C., Mason, O. J., & Pickup, G. J. (2008). Apophenia, theory of mind and schizotypy: Perceiving meaning and intentionality in randomness. *Cortex*, 44(10), 1316-1325. Mason, O., Linney, Y., & Claridge, G. (2005). Short scales for measuring schizotypy. *Schizophrenia Research*, 78(2-3), 293-296.

Couch, A., & Keniston, K. (1960). Yeasayers and naysayers: Agreeing response set as a personality variable. *Journal of* Abnormal and Social Psychology, 60, 151–174.

Kantner, J., & Lindsay, D. S. (2012). Response bias in recognition memory as a cognitive trait. Memory & cognition, 40(8), 1163-1177.

Byrom, N. C., & Murphy, R. A. (2018). Individual differences are more than a gene × environment interaction: The role of learning. JEP: ALC, 44(1), 36. Claridge, G. E. (1997). *Schizotypy: Implications for illness and health*. Oxford University Press. Lenzenweger, M. F. (2018). Schizotypy, schizotypic psychopathology and schizophrenia. World Psychiatry, 17(1), 25.

Barrantes-Vidal, N., Grant, P., & Kwapil, T. R. (2015). The role of schizotypy in the study of the etiology of schizophrenia spectrum disorders. *Schizophrenia bulletin*, 41(suppl_2), S408-S416.

Sahakyan, L., & Kwapil, T. R. (2018). Episodic memory retrieval is impaired in negative schizotypy under fast response deadline. *Schizophrenia Research*, 201, 167-171. Haselgrove, M., & Evans, L. H. (2010). Variations in selective and nonselective prediction error with the negative