

<b>Outcome Measure</b>	<b>Bell-Lysaker Emotion Recognition Task (BLERT)</b>
<b>Sensitivity to Change</b>	No evidence
<b>How to obtain</b>	Available from author morris.bell@yale.edu
<b>Population</b>	Adult
<b>Domain</b>	Social Cognition
<b>Type of Measure</b>	Performance task: Available from first author upon request.
<b>Time to administer</b>	<b>7 minutes</b>
<b>Description</b>	The Bell-Lysaker Emotion Recognition Test (G. Bryson, M. Bell, & P. Lysaker, 1997) comprises 21 10 second video clips of a male actor expressing one of 7 emotions (happiness, fear, surprise, sadness, anger, disgust and neutral) via face, voice and upper body movement. Participants watch each clip and select the appropriate emotional label. Scores range from 0 -21. The test takes around 7 minutes to administer.
<b>Properties</b>	<p><u>Internal consistency</u>: Alpha = .74-.78 (patients with schizophrenia), .57- .63 (healthy controls) (Pinkham, Harvey, &amp; Penn, 2018; Pinkham, Penn, Green, &amp; Harvey, 2016).</p> <p><u>Test-retest reliability (2-4 weeks)</u>: .70-.81 (patients), .63-.68 (controls) (Pinkham et al., 2018; Pinkham et al., 2016).</p> <p><u>Convergent validity</u>: The BLERT is significantly predicted by neurocognitive performance, especially Digit Span, Hopkins Learning and the Continuous Performance Test (Gary Bryson, Morris Bell, &amp; Paul Lysaker, 1997). The BLERT correlates significantly with another static emotion recognition test – the ER-40 (<math>r = .59</math>) (Pinkham et al., 2016).</p> <p><u>Concurrent validity</u>: The BLERT predicts functional and social outcomes in people with schizophrenia. In fact, when pitted against a range of other social cognitive measures (Hinting, TASIT, ER-40, AIHQ, RMET, RAD) it showed the strongest relation to functional outcome, along with the Hinting task. (Pinkham et al., 2016).</p> <p><u>Discriminant validity</u>: The BLERT differentiates patients with schizophrenia from health controls (Cornacchio, Pinkham, Penn, &amp; Harvey, 2017) with medium to large effect size (<math>d = .76</math>) (Fiszdon &amp; Johannesen, 2010; Pinkham et al., 2016).</p> <p><u>Normative data</u>: Normative data is available from Pinkham (Pinkham et al., 2016), <math>N = .98</math> and (Pinkham et al., 2018), <math>N = 148</math>, (Gary Bryson et al., 1997), <math>N = 63</math>. According to Pinkham et al (2018) <i>Healthy M=15.92 (2.70) *</i></p>
<b>Advantages</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The BLERT is quick (7 minutes) and simple to administer</li> <li>• It is a good predictor of functional outcomes in people with schizophrenia, i.e. has good ecological validity</li> </ul>
<b>Disadvantages</b>	

#### References

Bryson, G., Bell, M., & Lysaker, P. (1997). Affect recognition in schizophrenia: a function of global impairment or a specific cognitive deficit. *Psychiatry Res*, 71(2), 105-113. doi:10.1016/s0165-1781(97)00050-4

- Bryson, G., Bell, M., & Lysaker, P. (1997). Affect recognition in schizophrenia: A function of global impairment or a specific cognitive deficit. *Psychiatry Research, 71*(2), 105-113.
- Cornacchio, D., Pinkham, A. E., Penn, D. L., & Harvey, P. D. (2017). Self-assessment of social cognitive ability in individuals with schizophrenia: Appraising task difficulty and allocation of effort. *Schizophr Res, 179*, 85-90. doi:10.1016/j.schres.2016.09.033
- Fiszdon, J. M., & Johannesen, J. K. (2010). Functional significance of preserved affect recognition in schizophrenia. *Psychiatry Research, 176*(2-3), 120-125. doi:10.1016/j.psychres.2009.08.006
- Mehrabian, A. (1996). Manual for the balanced emotional empathy scale (BEES). *Available from Albert Mehrabian, 1130*.
- Mehrabian, A. (1997). Relations among personality scales of aggression, violence, and empathy: Validation evidence bearing on the risk of eruptive violence scale. *Aggressive Behavior, 23*(6), 433-445.
- Mehrabian, A. (2000). Beyond IQ: Broad-based measurement of individual success potential or "emotional intelligence". *Genetic, Social, and General Psychology Monographs*.
- Pinkham, A. E., Harvey, P. D., & Penn, D. L. (2018). Social Cognition Psychometric Evaluation: Results of the Final Validation Study. *Schizophrenia Bulletin, 44*(4), 737-748. doi:10.1093/schbul/sbx117
- Pinkham, A. E., Penn, D. L., Green, M. F., & Harvey, P. D. (2016). Social cognition psychometric evaluation: Results of the initial psychometric study. *Schizophrenia Bulletin, 42*(2), 494-504.