

<b>Outcome Measure</b>	<b>Questionnaire of Cognitive and Affective Empathy (QCAE)</b>
<b>Sensitivity to Change</b>	No
<b>Population</b>	Adult
<b>How to obtain</b>	Available from the authors
<b>Domain</b>	Social Cognition
<b>Type of Measure</b>	Self-report
<b>Time to administer</b>	<b>3-5 minutes</b>
<b>Description</b>	<p>The QCAE (Reniers, Corcoran, Drake, Shryane, &amp; Völlm, 2011) is a self-report measure of both cognitive and affective empathy that is derived from several well established empathy questionnaires: 15 items (15-29) in the EQ (Baron-Cohen &amp; Wheelwright, 2004), 2 items (30-31) in the Hogan Empathy Scale (Hogan, 1969), 8 items (7-14) from the Empathy subscale of the Impulsiveness-Venturesomeness-Empathy Inventory (Eysenck &amp; Eysenck, 1978) and 6 items (1-6) from the Interpersonal Reactivity Index (Davis, 1983) (Davis, 1983).</p> <p>Items were selected from the complete pool of items based on factor analysis in order to produce two coherent subscales for cognitive and emotional empathy. The final version of the QCAE entails 31 statements to which the examinee responds using a 4-point Likert scale from 4 (strongly agree to 1 strongly disagree). This produces scores on Cognitive Empathy with subscales for Perspective taking (10 items) and On-line simulation (9 items – one reverse scored) and Affective Empathy with three subscales: Emotional contagion (4 items) Proximal responsivity (4 items) and Peripheral proximity (4 items, three reverse scored).</p>
<b>Properties</b>	<p><u>Internal consistency:</u> The final structure of the QCAE suggested 5 factors with perspective taking and on-line simulation (<math>\alpha = .85</math> and <math>.83</math>) representing Cognitive Empathy and Emotional contagion, Peripheral responsivity and Proximal responsivity (<math>\alpha = .72</math>, <math>.65</math> and <math>.70</math>) representing Affective Empathy. Independent research has suggested lower internal consistency for some subscales e.g. Peripheral responsivity <math>\alpha = .28</math>. (Horan et al., 2015) and suggested the two factors (cognitive and affective) are best for stability (<math>\alpha = .90</math> and <math>.77</math> respectively) (Horan et al., 2015) (also estimated as <math>\alpha = 0.89</math> and <math>0.78</math> (Powell, 2018).</p> <p><u>Test-retest reliability:</u> <math>r = .84</math> (3 weeks) (Powell &amp; Roberts, 2017)</p> <p><u>Construct validity:</u> the subscales correlate <math>r=.31</math>. The QCAE cognitive and affective subscales correlate with the related subscales on the BES (<math>r = .61</math> and <math>.76</math> respectively) (Reniers et al., 2011). The cognitive subscale correlates with measures of dysfunctional impulsivity, secondary psychopathy, more so than affective empathy. Affective empathy correlated more with empathic anger and expressive aggression than did Cognitive empathy (Reniers et al., 2011). The QCAE cognitive factor correlates with the IRI PT scale (<math>r = .63</math>, <math>.53</math>) and the EC scale (<math>r = .39</math>, <math>.53</math>) while the Affective factor correlates with the IRI EC scale (<math>r = .56</math>, <math>.33</math>) (Horan et al., 2015; Michaels et al., 2014).</p> <p><u>Predictive validity:</u> Higher Cognitive empathy is correlated with better community functioning (using the Role Functioning Scale) in people with schizophrenia as well as matched control participants (Horan et al., 2015)</p> <p><u>Discriminant validity:</u> People with Schizophrenia have lower Cognitive empathy than matched controls but higher Affective empathy (Horan et al., 2015; Michaels et al., 2014)</p> <p><u>Normative data:</u> In the original development of the scale <math>N=925</math> participants (mean age 23-30; SD 7.3-11, range 17-65 across sub studies).</p> <p>Cognitive empathy: females: <math>M = 59.42</math> (<math>SD = 9.12</math>); Males: <math>M = 56.12</math> (<math>SD = 15.21</math>) (representing an effect size between genders of <math>d = .41</math>).</p>

	Affective empathy: Females M = 36.76 (SD = 6.08) and Males: M = 32.37 (SD = 9.12) d = .83. Other normative data to compare with people with schizophrenia (N = 45) (Horan et al., 2015) and N = 37 (Michaels et al., 2014) is also available. There is also a large data set of 844 adults- mainly university students (M= 22.3 (5.1) years) completing the QCAE on-line yielding means as follows Cognitive Scale M= 57.14 (SD = 8.28); Affective: M = 33.75 (SD = 5.51) (Powell, 2018).
<b>Advantages</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Has been derived from other established scales based on empirical analysis</li> <li>• Provides a measure of both cognitive and affective empathy</li> </ul>
<b>Disadvantages</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>•</li> </ul>
<b>Additional Information</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Norms vary significantly with gender.</li> </ul>

### References

- Baron-Cohen, S., & Wheelwright, S. (2004). The Empathy Quotient: An Investigation of Adults with Asperger Syndrome or High Functioning Autism, and Normal Sex Differences. *Journal of Autism and Developmental Disorders, 34*(2), 163-175. doi:10.1023/b:jadd.0000022607.19833.00
- Davis, M. H. (1983). Measuring individual differences in empathy: Evidence for a multidimensional approach. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology, 44*(1), 113-126. doi:10.1037/0022-3514.44.1.113
- Eysenck, S. B., & Eysenck, H. J. (1978). Impulsiveness and venturesomeness: their position in a dimensional system of personality description. *Psychol Rep, 43*(3 Pt 2), 1247-1255. doi:10.2466/pr0.1978.43.3f.1247
- Hogan, R. (1969). Development of an empathy scale. *Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology, 33*, 307-316.
- Horan, W. P., Reise, S. P., Kern, R. S., Lee, J., Penn, D. L., & Green, M. F. (2015). Structure and correlates of self-reported empathy in schizophrenia. *Journal of Psychiatric Research, 66-67*, 60-66. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpsychires.2015.04.016
- Michaels, T. M., Horan, W. P., Ginger, E. J., Martinovich, Z., Pinkham, A. E., & Smith, M. J. (2014). Cognitive empathy contributes to poor social functioning in schizophrenia: Evidence from a new self-report measure of cognitive and affective empathy. *Psychiatry Research, 220*(3), 803-810. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.psychres.2014.08.054
- Powell, P. A. (2018). Individual differences in emotion regulation moderate the associations between empathy and affective distress. *Motivation and Emotion, 42*(4), 602-613. doi:10.1007/s11031-018-9684-4
- Powell, P. A., & Roberts, J. (2017). Situational determinants of cognitive, affective, and compassionate empathy in naturalistic digital interactions. *Computers in Human Behavior, 68*, 137-148. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chb.2016.11.024
- Reniers, R. L. E. P., Corcoran, R., Drake, R., Shryane, N. M., & Völlm, B. A. (2011). The QCAE: A Questionnaire of Cognitive and Affective Empathy. *Journal of Personality Assessment, 93*(1), 84-95. doi:10.1080/00223891.2010.528484